

**Codebook for: Melamed, David, Ashley Harrell and Brent Simpson. 2018.
"Cooperation, Clustering, and Assortative Mixing in Dynamic Networks."
*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences***

These data allow replication of the results reported in the above paper.

The first data file is "Coop_DropTies_Earnings.csv" which is the data for the cooperation, dropping alters, and earnings results. The variable names, as found in the .csv file, are described below:

part_coop: binary variable indicating whether the participant cooperated (=1) with an alter

targeted: binary variable indicating whether the participant made targeted choices for each alter (=1) or a single decision that applied to all alters

clustered: binary variable indicating whether the network in which the participant was embedded was initially clustered (=1) or random

dynamic: binary variable indicating whether the network in which the participant was embedded was dynamic (=1) or static

round: categorical variable corresponding to the round of the iterated PD game (range = 1:16)

selecttarget: dummy variable indicating whether to include a particular row in analyses of cooperation (yes = 1). This variable selects out multiple instances of cooperation decisions when participants made diffuse choices

case: categorical nesting variable indicating the networks in which the participants were embedded

part_id2: categorical variable with unique values for each participant

alt_round: categorical nesting variable denoting multiple alters nested in participant-rounds

female2: dummy variable indicating whether the participant was female (=1)

white2: dummy variable indicating whether the participant was white (=1)

age2: continuous control variable indicating the participant's age

numgames2: continuous control variable indicating the number of games that a participant played (i.e., the number of conditions in which they participated)

cutone: binary variable indicating a round in which a participant decided to drop an alter (=1)

numdefect: the count of alters that defected on the previous round

numties2: the participant's number of alters on a given round

id: a binary variable indicating a single alt_round per participant-round

part_cuttie: an indicator variable for the alter that was dropped (=1) when the participant decided to drop an alter

alterdefectprev: binary variable indicating whether the participant defected on the previous round (=1)

cut_a_tie: binary selection variable indicating participant-rounds in which the participant decided to drop an alter

earn2: average earnings for the round (averaged over all alters)

static: binary variable indicating the participant was embedded in a static network (=1)

resinf: dummy variable indicating the participant was in the restricted or local information condition

fulinf: dummy variable indicating the participant was in the full or global information condition

Given the variables described above, below is the Stata code to replicate our cooperation, dropping a tie, and earnings results.

* Main cooperation model... Table S1, Model 1

```
melogit part_coop i.targeted i.clustered i.dynamic i.clustered#i.dynamic  
i.targeted#i.dynamic i.round if selecttarget==1 ||case: || part_id2: || alt_round:
```

* With controls... Table S1, Model 2

```
melogit part_coop i.targeted i.clustered i.dynamic i.clustered#i.dynamic  
i.targeted#i.dynamic i.round i.female2 i.white2 c.age2 c.numgames2 if  
selecttarget==1 ||case: || part_id2: || alt_round:
```

* Deciding to drop a tie (reported in the text of the SI)

```
melogit cutone c.numdefect i.numties2 i.round if id==1 & dynamic==1 ||case: ||  
part_id2:
```

* Which alter to drop (reported in the text of the SI)
clogit part_cuttie i.alterdefectprev if cut_a_tie==1, group(alt_round) cl(case)

* Table S6, Model 1
mixed earn2 i.static i.clustered i.targeted i.resinf i.fulinf i.targeted#i.static
i.clustered#i.static i.round if id==1 || case: || part_id2:

The second data file is “IsolateHazard.csv” which is the data for the hazard model results. The variable names, as found in the .csv file, are described below:

round: categorical variable corresponding to the round of the iterated PD game
(range = 1:16)

keepers: binary selection variable denoting which rows to keep for the analysis

part_id2: categorical variable with unique values for each participant

isolate2: binary indicator variable denoting when a participant became isolated from the network

clustered: binary variable indicating whether a participant was embedded in a clustered (=1) or random network

infotype2: categorical variable referring to the type of reputation information available to the participant (1 = no reputation; 2 = local reputations; 3 = global reputations)

targeted: binary variable indicating whether participants made targeted (=1) choices to cooperate with each alter or diffuse choices that applied to all alters

defectpercent: time-varying covariate referring the % of time the participant defected on the previous round

case: categorical nesting variable indicating the networks in which the participants were embedded

Given the variables described above, below is the Stata code to replicate our cooperation, dropping a tie, and earnings results.

* Table S4, Model 1
stset round if keepers==1, id(part_id2) failure(isolate2)
stcox i.clustered i.infotype2 i.targeted if keepers==1, cl(case) nohr
tvc(i.defectpercent) texp(1)

The third data file is "SupplementalCooperation.csv" which is the data for the supplemental conditions and analyses of cooperation. The variable names, as found in the .csv file, are described below:

part_coop: binary variable indicating whether the participant cooperated (=1) with an alter

fastdyn: dummy variable denoting conditions in which dynamics occurred after every round (=1)

targeted: binary variable indicating whether the participant made targeted choices for each alter (=1) or a single decision that applied to all alters

noreps: dummy variable denoting conditions in which participants knew no reputational information about prospective alters (=1)

localreps: dummy variable denoting conditions in which participants only knew local reputational information about prospective alters (=1)

clustered: binary variable indicating whether the network in which the participant was embedded was initially clustered (=1) or random

female2: dummy variable indicating whether the participant was female (=1)

white2: dummy variable indicating whether the participant was white (=1)

age2: continuous control variable indicating the participant's age

numgames2: continuous control variable indicating the number of games that a participant played (i.e., the number of conditions in which they participated)

selecttarget: dummy variable indicating whether to include a particular row in analyses of cooperation (yes = 1). This variable selects out multiple instances of cooperation decisions when participants made diffuse choices

contrast3: binary selection variable isolating the conditions of interest for these analyses

case: categorical nesting variable indicating the networks in which the participants were embedded

part_id2: categorical variable with unique values for each participant

alt_round: categorical nesting variable denoting multiple alters nested in participant-rounds

Given the variables described above, below is the Stata code to replicate our cooperation, dropping a tie, and earnings results.

* Table S7, Models 2, 3 and 4

```
melogit part_coop i.fastdyn i.targeted i.noreps i.localreps if clustered==0 &  
selecttarget==1 & contrast3==1 ||case: || part_id2: || alt_round:
```

```
melogit part_coop i.fastdyn i.targeted i.noreps i.localreps i.targeted#i.fastdyn  
i.targeted#i.noreps i.targeted#i.localreps if clustered==0 & selecttarget==1 &  
contrast3==1 ||case: || part_id2: || alt_round:
```

```
melogit part_coop i.fastdyn i.targeted i.noreps i.localreps i.female2 i.white2 c.age2  
c.numgames2 if clustered==0 & selecttarget==1 & contrast3==1 ||case: || part_id2:  
|| alt_round:
```